



Monte Vista Elementary School

701 West Westfield Ave. • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7350 • Grades K-6

Andrew Woodley , Principal

awoodley@portervilleschools.org

<http://montevista.portervilleschools.org/>

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave.
Porterville, CA 93257
(559) 793-2400
portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Felipe Martinez
Lillian Durbin

District Administration

Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.
Superintendent

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Business Services**

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services**

Andrew Bukosky, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources**

Principal's Message

The staff at Monte Vista School is pleased to present our 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card. The School Accountability Report Card shares information about our school with parents and the community. Monte Vista Elementary School staff share the belief that all students can learn. We believe that all students have the potential to become well-rounded, ethical, and democratic citizens who are optimistic about the future.

The teachers at Monte Vista Elementary School are educators who value and understand the importance of working with young minds. We strive for continued improvement of the academic program. Teachers are continuously engaged in collaborative research, problem-solving, and reflective dialogue that enables them to examine their practices with the ultimate goal of improving student achievement. Furthermore, the staff at Monte Vista understands the importance of helping students realize their full potential as not only students but as citizens in a dynamic and ever changing world. Thus, we are dedicated to character education and future ready skills.

Our school's goals are to aide students in taking advantage of the opportunities for reading, writing, problem solving, and developing the skills needed to adapt to the demands of a rapidly changing world.

School Mission Statement

The mission of Monte Vista is to provide students a dynamic, engaging and effective educational experience that prepares them with the skills to be productive citizens in a global society.

Community & School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Monte Vista School serves students in grades kindergarten through six on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on improving literacy and language arts skills, with special emphasis placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections, Character Counts!, and various cultural observances promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty.

During the 2015-16 school year, 483 students were enrolled at the school.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	73
Grade 1	65
Grade 2	73
Grade 3	75
Grade 4	66
Grade 5	63
Grade 6	68
Total Enrollment	483

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	1.9
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	81.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	13.7
Two or More Races	1.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86.5
English Learners	34.4
Students with Disabilities	1.7
Foster Youth	1.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Monte Vista Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	19	18	20
Without Full Credential	0	2	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Porterville Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	♦	♦	585
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	59
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	19

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Monte Vista Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	88.9	11.1
Districtwide		
All Schools	94.0	6.0
High-Poverty Schools	94.0	6.0
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 10, 2015 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in August 2014, regarding textbooks in use during the 2015-16 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw-Hill Wonders Adopted 2016 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Mathematics	McGraw Hill My Math Adopted 2015 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Science	Glencoe Adopted 2006 Harcourt Adopted 2001 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Foreign Language	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Health	Harcourt Adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Monte Vista School was originally constructed in 1997 and is currently comprised of 24 classrooms (including portables), one resource room, a library, one computer lab, one staff room, a cafeteria/multi-purpose room, two playgrounds, and the main offices. The entire campus is enclosed with gates and fencing that were installed between 2005 and 2006. In 2008-09 the pillars in the school were painted the color of the Character Counts Program. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in July 2015.

Cleaning Process

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the school's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. At the time of publication, 100% of the school's restrooms were in working order.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 07/20/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X		Rm 2- Cracks in floor, Rm 4 Stained Ceiling Tiles, Rm 10 Stained Ceiling Tiles, Rm 12 Stained Ceiling Tiles, Rm 13 Stained Ceiling Tiles and Torn Wall Paper, Rm 25 Torn wall paper and Carpet Wrinkled Trip Hazard, Rm 26 Carpet Wrinkled Trip Hazard, Library- Broken Ceiling Tile, Cafeteria- Broken Ceiling Tiles and Torn Wall Paper. East Girls Restroom-Stall Door Latch Need Repair, East Boys Restroom-Stall Latches Not Working. Already Corrected
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical			X		Rm 10- Missing Light Lens, Rm 23- Missing Light Lens and Frame, Cafeteria- Lights Out. Already Corrected
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				Rm-1 Restroom Sink Not Working, Rm 17 Water Fountain Leaking, Cafeteria- Boys and Girls Sinks Need Repair- Boys Stall Door Latch Broken, West Boys Restroom-Sinks not working and stall latches not working, East Boys Restroom- Sinks Not Working, West Girls Restroom- Missing Toilet Seat and Two Sinks Not Working, East Girls Restroom-All Sinks Not Working, Fountains Next To Room 22 and Room 19 Have Broken Fountains. Already Corrected
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
			X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	19	28	30	37	44	48
Math	18	18	20	21	34	36

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	27	15	9	42	37	40	60	56	54

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	26.6	23.4	37.5

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	64	64	100.0	9.4
Male	35	35	100.0	17.1
Female	29	29	100.0	
Hispanic or Latino	52	52	100.0	5.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55	55	100.0	7.3
English Learners	17	17	100.0	

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	75	75	100.0	37.3
	4	66	66	100.0	21.2
	5	64	64	100.0	15.6
	6	68	68	100.0	35.3
Male	3	40	40	100.0	40.0
	4	36	36	100.0	19.4
	5	35	35	100.0	5.7
	6	38	38	100.0	34.2
Female	3	35	35	100.0	34.3
	4	30	30	100.0	23.3
	5	29	29	100.0	27.6
	6	30	30	100.0	36.7
Hispanic or Latino	3	63	63	100.0	38.1
	4	55	55	100.0	23.6
	5	52	52	100.0	15.4
	6	54	54	100.0	38.9
White	6	11	11	100.0	18.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	68	100.0	33.8
	4	56	56	100.0	21.4
	5	55	55	100.0	18.2
	6	58	58	100.0	34.5
English Learners	3	24	24	100.0	33.3
	4	23	23	100.0	21.7
	5	17	17	100.0	5.9
	6	13	13	100.0	7.7

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	75	75	100.0	38.7
	4	66	66	100.0	15.2
	5	64	64	100.0	7.8
	6	68	68	100.0	6.0
Male	3	40	40	100.0	42.5
	4	36	36	100.0	16.7
	5	35	35	100.0	11.4
	6	38	38	100.0	5.3
Female	3	35	35	100.0	34.3
	4	30	30	100.0	13.3
	5	29	29	100.0	3.5
	6	30	30	100.0	6.9
Hispanic or Latino	3	63	63	100.0	39.7
	4	55	55	100.0	14.6
	5	52	52	100.0	5.8
	6	54	54	100.0	7.5
White	6	11	11	100.0	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	68	68	100.0	33.8
	4	56	56	100.0	14.3
	5	55	55	100.0	9.1
	6	58	58	100.0	5.3
English Learners	3	24	24	100.0	37.5
	4	23	23	100.0	26.1
	5	17	17	100.0	
	6	13	13	100.0	

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Porterville Unified School District. The Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) plays an active role in the community and at each school site through fundraising and special activities. Contributions by the following community partners add to the programs available at Monte Vista School: Rotary Club, Veterans of Foreign Wars, and the OfficeMax Adopt a Classroom program. Monte Vista has a parent involvement policy along with a Home School Compact. All parents are requested to be fingerprinted through the district office. The Monte Vista staff is very proud of its School Site Council (SSC) and it's English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC). These teams are vital in assisting the school to meet the needs of our children.

Porterville Unified School District uses Connect-ED software in order to more efficiently communicate with parents and community members. Through a series of recorded messages, available in any language, school staff can now notify individuals or large groups of upcoming school events, attendance/truancy issues, and emergencies.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Monte Vista Elementary School. Yard supervisors, teachers, and administrators supervise students on campus before and after school and during recess, while noon duty supervisors monitor students during the lunch break. All visitors must report to the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus. For the safety of our students, all volunteers are fingerprinted and cleared through the Department of Justice. Appointments are made with the school secretary. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times.

The School Site Safety Plan review was initiated in the 2012-13 school year by the Safety Committee. The plan was reviewed at the start of the 2015-16 school year with a goal that all students are supervised at all times. Other key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis; fire drills are held once a month while earthquake and secure campus drills are each held once a year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	0.9	2.6	0.4
Expulsions Rate	2.8	0.0	0.0
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	6.0	5.9	5.6
Expulsions Rate	0.6	0.3	0.3
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	16	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	80.0	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	1
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	1
Other	1
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	28	26	26				3	3	3			
1	29	19	19		3	3	3	1	1			
2	28	26	26				3	3	3			
3	30	26	26				2	3	3			
4	33	33	33				1	1	1	1	1	1
5	32	32	32				1	2	2	1		
6	33	32	32				1	2	2	1		

Professional Development provided for Teachers

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has offered two staff development days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in Common Core curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,592	\$44,958
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,374	\$70,581
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,879	\$91,469
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$142,276	\$113,994
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$144,040	\$120,075
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$162,400	\$130,249
Superintendent Salary	\$209,705	\$218,315
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	36%	38%
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency
- Title VII, Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,476	\$811	\$4,665	\$69,435
District	♦	♦	\$6,336	\$75,802
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$74,216
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-26.4	-1.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-0.5	0.1

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.